Minutes2021_12_14

Agenda

Mission Update / Status (~10 min, Karl)

NuSTAR's Senior Review Proposal

- Presentation (~20 min, Daniel)
- Discussion (~20 min, all)

Future Topics / Any other business brought by members (~5 min, all)

Attendance

Dan Wik, Karl Forster, Marco Ajello, Andrea Marinucci, Aarran Shaw, James Steiner, Enrico Bozzo, Rich Terrile, Dan Stern, Katja Pottschmidt, Joel Coley, Tod Strohmayer

Notes from meeting

Karl Forster - Observatory Status

- no issues with spacecraft subsystems
- minor degradation in battery (10+ years still)
- laser metrology system
 - intensities had been degrading worryingly
 - but adjustments have stabilized that (10+ years lifetime also), thanks Hanna
 - effort toward operations with 1 laser only, ready to implement next year
- Major calibration update due to >9 years of Crab data
 - changes to effective area (5% for on-axis point sources, a bit higher off-axis)
 - better agreement with XMM EPIC-pn
- Ground station in French Guinea Kourou (ESA), for bright targets

- Cycle 8 GO, oversubscription >4 still, esp. ToO and joint NICER
 - slight uptick this past year 🙂
 - exclusive use period reduced to 6 months
 - increase joint time?
 - IXPE joint time next cycle?
 - joint w/Chandra, XMM, Swift also Swift is undersubscribed
 - in future, possible to have joint time with TESS? XMM may make more sense though
- Orbital decay
 - 595-600 km altitude currently
 - expected this solar cycle will be mild, should drop a bit but not significantly
- 1000 refereed papers!

Daniel Stern - Senior Review

- Senior review is process (every 3 years) to extend NASA missions beyond original mission timeframe, NuSTAR's next proposal is due in January
- Mid January, NUC will get a ~20 page early draft to review
- Outline can be sent out today to get a sense of what it will contain
- Large Program is less oversubscribed so far
 - allocate more/less time to it?
 - NUC should assess appropriate allocation
- PMO: primary mission objectives, define goals of missions for next 3 years
 - e.g., Swift BAT program 20 ks observation of all sources as fill in
- Jack: who is audience of proposal? scientists? HQ?
 - last time David Weinberg chaired panel, other (largely X-ray) scientists
 - final decisions made by HQ, but they follow recommendation of panel in healthy budget times

- 7 pages of science highlights, we can suggest other highlights
 - first draft of this, will distribute today to NUC
 - based on press releases and highly cited papers
 - can suggest other items
 - Dan: let future science promise be defined by community, unlikely to dive in here
 - Rich & Aarran: highlight capabilities / synergies with other observatories (GW follow-up, ToOs, etc.)
- Aarran: NuSTAR, NICER, Swift all reviewed by the same committee, how much discussion is there b/t NuSTAR and other missions? not much discussion really, drafts are typically not shared between missions
- Dan: how are recommendations dealt with in past? pretty benign overall last time, just requested more detail on success of large programs, panel liked Swift BAT program but HQ didn't so much, wanted it eliminated, so a discussion followed but HQ followed panel in the end; bolstered expansion of ToO program as proposed
- NOW: review current
- Due February 11th
- At HQ, each mission gets 90min to present by PI to panel and get quizzed (end of March / early April)
- Katja: GOFs talking to each other about senior review proposals
 - have been discussions related to EDI, to have uniform statements, including funds and resources, senior review has a requirement to discuss this in proposal
 - Tod: GOFs at GSFC interested in combining funding to do more since funding often tight - pooling resources not been done before, unclear how HQ would react
 - e.g., fund proposal-writing workshop, REU / internship program supplement or specifically for HBCUs, separate grad student workshops
- Katja: update list of PhD theses that use NuSTAR data, through 2019 is complete but need 2020 / 2021 to add to it info kept up to date at HEASARC

• send an email to all NuSTAR proposers to help collect info

Aarran: AAS attendance is weak for NUC (just Dan W., Aarran, and Jack mentioned attending), but the HEAD meeting in March should have a larger attendance

• Good opportunity to discuss success of large programs, other potential items that come up while the senior review proposal is being put together

Senior Review Timeline

- December: NUC reviews SR proposal outline with a particular focus on science highlights from last 3 years, suggests other results, comments on current ones, etc, in a unified google doc or equivalent
- mid-January: NUC reviews full draft of SR proposal at a top level for content, makes suggestions again organized in a joint google doc or equivalent
- February: SR proposal submitted